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Floorplanning

- Determine the locations and shapes of modules
  - Various objectives and constraints
- Realize adjacency relations
  - Modules communicating with each other should be close to each other.
  - Relevant algorithms are quite complicated.
- Place modules without overlap
  - Usually optimize floorplans through simulated annealing (SA)
  - Time consuming
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Common floorplan flow with adjacency graphs

- Start with structure graph, most likely derived from interconnects
- Planarize and properly triangulate in order to transform the graph into an adjacency graph, i.e. one with a rectangular dual
- Construct the rectangular dual, i.e. a floorplan of rooms, each contains a module

Not widely used today

- Although complexities of algorithms are usually $O(n)$, practical implementations are quite complicated (e.g. compared to sequence-pairs)
- Large whitespace when the shapes of modules are not flexible, e.g. hard modules
Floorplan with Adjacency Graph


- Common floorplan flow with adjacency graphs
  - Start with structure graph, most likely derived from interconnects
  - Planarize and properly triangulate in order to transform the graph into an adjacency graph, i.e. one with a rectangular dual
  - Construct the rectangular dual, i.e. a floorplan of rooms, each contains a module

- Not widely used today
  - Although complexities of algorithms are usually $O(n)$, practical implementations are quite complicated (e.g. compared to sequence-pairs)
  - Large whitespace when the shapes of modules are not flexible, e.g. hard modules
Many floorplan approaches capture adjacent relations partially.

Mosaic floorplans, e.g. CBL [Hong et al. 2000] and TBS [Young et al. 2003]
- A floorplan of rooms
- Allow T-junction to slide – not all adjacent relations are captured

Adjacent Constraint Graph (ACG) [Zhou et al. 2004] Linear Constraint Graph (LCG) [Wang et al. 2008]
- Capture adjacent relations by removing redundancies in constraint graphs
- They are still constraint graphs.
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Many floorplan approaches capture adjacent relations partially.

Mosaic floorplans, e.g. CBL [Hong et al. 2000] and TBS [Young et al. 2003]
  - A floorplan of rooms
  - Allow T-junction to slide – not all adjacent relations are captured

Adjacent Constraint Graph (ACG) [Zhou et al. 2004] Linear Constraint Graph (LCG) [Wang et al. 2008]
  - Capture adjacent relations by removing redundancies in constraint graphs
  - They are still constraint graphs.
Propose Constrained Adjacency Graph (CAG) to extend previous adjacency graph approaches by introducing explicit adjacency constraints

Derive sufficient and necessary conditions for CAG

Present a linear complexity algorithm to construct floorplans from CAG

Propose to use CAG for general floorplans through packing

Present an iterative algorithm for CAG to improve general floorplans in area without changing the adjacency relations dramatically
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Dissected Floorplan and Adjacency Graph

- **Dissected floorplan**
  - The floorplan area is dissected into rectangular rooms.
  - Each room accommodates a module.
  - No four rooms share a common point.

- **Adjacency graph**
  - Planar graph. Each face is a triangle.
  - No simple definition – algorithmically defined.
    - [Kozminski et al. 1984], [Bhasker et al. 1988]
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- **Dissected floorplan**
  - The floorplan area is dissected into rectangular rooms.
  - Each room accommodates a module.
  - No four rooms share a common point.

- **Adjacency graph**
  - Planar graph. Each face is a triangle.
  - No simple definition – algorithmically defined
    [Kozminski et al. 1984], [Bhasker et al. 1988]

- One adjacency graph may correspond to multiple dissected floorplans.
Constrained Adjacency Graph (CAG)

- Constrained Adjacency Graph
  - Introduce explicit adjacency constraints to edges
- Directed vertical edge
  - Between two rooms sharing horizontal border
  - From bottom to top
- Directed horizontal edge
  - Between two rooms sharing vertical border
  - From left to right
Neighbor Condition for CAG

- $v_i$'s are the rooms to the top of $v$.
- $v_i$ is the bottom-most left neighbor of $v_{i+1}$.
- $v_{i+1}$ is the bottom-most right neighbor of $v_i$.
- Apply to other borders of $v$. 
v’s bottom-left corner is not on the boundary of the floorplan iff it has both bottom and left neighbors.

- b: left-most bottom neighbor of v
- t: bottom-most left neighbor of v

l is the top-most left neighbor of b, or

b is the right-most bottom neighbor of l.

Apply to other corners.
Sufficient and Necessary Conditions for CAG

- **Necessary conditions for a graph to be a CAG**
  - Neighbor and Corner conditions must hold for all vertices.
  - They are necessary conditions.

- **Sufficient condition for a graph to be a CAG**
  - Neighbor and Corner conditions are sufficient.
  - Prove by constructing a dissected floorplan from a graph when the conditions hold
Construct Dissected Floorplan from CAG

- Compute horizontal and vertical positions for rooms separately
- For example, compute horizontal positions
  - Intuition: when deciding the horizontal position for a room, the horizontal positions of all its left neighbors should be computed already
  - Perform depth-first-search (DFS) on vertical edges, visiting neighbors from left to right
- Sort the vertices according to their DFS discovery time
Construct Dissected Floorplan from CAG (Cont.)

- Compute horizontal position for each room following the order

\[ v\text{.left} = 0 \quad \quad v\text{.left} = b\text{.left} \quad \quad v\text{.left} = \max \ l_i\text{.left} + l_i\text{.width} \]

- Overall time complexity and space complexity: both \( O(n) \)
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Packing of Dissected Floorplans

- Rooms could be much larger than the modules because of the required adjacencies.
- If two rooms occupy a same horizontal interval, there is a vertical path in CAG separating them.

- Fix horizontal positions
- Use vertical edges to pack, i.e. as constraint relations
- Adjacent relations are kept.
- Called V-packing, similarly there is H-packing

- CAG are extended to handle general floorplans.
The floorplan after V-packing may have large whitespace along the horizontal direction.

Intuition: a dissected floorplan has small whitespace if H-packing won’t change it.

Therefore, after V-packing, the floorplan has little whitespace.

H-packed dissected floorplan

- Will not change after H-packing
- Similarly there are V-packed dissected floorplans.
- How to obtain?
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The Tree-Weaving Algorithm

- H-packing will result in a longest path tree that determines horizontal positions of the modules
- H-Tree-Weaving algorithm
  - Given the tree, construct a CAG
  - In the corresponding dissected floorplan, rooms have the same horizontal positions as modules in the H-packing
  - Similarly there is the V-Tree-Weaving algorithm.
- Maintain neighbor and corner conditions
  - See paper for details
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H-packing will result in a longest path tree that determines horizontal positions of the modules.

H-Tree-Weaving algorithm
- Given the tree, construct a CAG
- In the corresponding dissected floorplan, rooms have the same horizontal positions as modules in the H-packing.
- Similarly there is the V-Tree-Weaving algorithm.

Maintain neighbor and corner conditions
- See paper for details.
Iterative Packing

- Apply H-Tree-Weaving and V-Tree-Weaving alternatively
- Reduce whitespace without changing adjacent relations dramatically
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Greedy iterative floorplanning

Initial floorplan
- Order modules horizontally by solving one quadratic programming problem similar to analytical placement
- Place modules column by column from left to right
- Ignore vertical order

Each iteration
- Randomly pick up two modules for swapping
- Perform iterative packing
- Accept the swapping if cost function is improved

Stop after a predefined number of iterations or rejections
Experimental Setup

- GSRC benchmarks: n100, n200, n300
- Compare to Parquet [Adya et al. 2003]
  - Sequence-pair based SA floorplan optimization
  - Free-outline mode
  - Start with a quadratic programming solution
  - No module rotations
- Cost function: HPWL+area
## Experimental Results

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>name</th>
<th>method</th>
<th>area</th>
<th>HPWL</th>
<th>time(s)</th>
<th>#moves</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>n100</td>
<td>CAG</td>
<td>195.9K/204.5K</td>
<td>302.1K/312.8K</td>
<td>15.30</td>
<td>31.3K</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Parquet A</td>
<td>196.8K/206.0K</td>
<td>320.2K/342.9K</td>
<td>14.90</td>
<td>96.5K</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Parquet B</td>
<td>195.0K/203.5K</td>
<td>313.6K/338.5K</td>
<td>29.80</td>
<td>175.8K</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>n200</td>
<td>CAG</td>
<td>197.0K/205.4K</td>
<td>540.9K/553.3K</td>
<td>30.86</td>
<td>26.0K</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Parquet A</td>
<td>207.4K/218.2K</td>
<td>613.8K/647.9K</td>
<td>29.40</td>
<td>54.0K</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Parquet B</td>
<td>197.4K/202.5K</td>
<td>578.9K/624.5K</td>
<td>149.2</td>
<td>256.6K</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>n300</td>
<td>CAG</td>
<td>304.4K/315.6K</td>
<td>649.0K/665.8K</td>
<td>61.61</td>
<td>33.8K</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Parquet A</td>
<td>335.2K/351.0K</td>
<td>750.6K/800.0K</td>
<td>58.89</td>
<td>62.5K</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Parquet B</td>
<td>306.9K/314.6K</td>
<td>709.2K/757.3K</td>
<td>290.6</td>
<td>325.7K</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Minimum/Maximum among 10 runs
- Parquet A: similar running time as CAG
- Parquet B: similar solution quality as recent Parquet results [Chan et al. 2005]
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Conclusions

- **Constrained Adjacency Graphs (CAG)** are proposed to extend previous adjacency graph approaches.
  - By introducing explicit adjacency constraints
  - Sufficient and necessary conditions are derived.
  - Linear complexity algorithm to construct floorplans from CAG is presented
- Handle general floorplans through packing.
  - Improve area without changing the adjacency relations dramatically through iterative packing
- Possible to combine with Linear Constraint Graph [Wang et al. 2008] to obtain a versatile floorplan representation that would provide both adjacent and constraint relations.
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